

**Required Report:** Required - Public Distribution

**Date:** March 18,2020

**Report Number:** PN2019-0026

**Report Name:** Agricultural Biotechnology Annual

**Country:** Panama

**Post:** Panama City

**Report Category:** Biotechnology and Other New Production Technologies

**Prepared By:**

**Approved By:** Julie Morin

**Report Highlights:**

On April 16, 2019, AquaBounty, a U.S. company that developed genetically-engineered (GE) salmon in Boquete, Panama closed its production and research facilities. AquaBounty left Panama due to the Government of Panama's deferred decision on the approval on local consumption. Approval would have required additional studies beyond those already conducted for the Canadian and United States Food and Drug Administration approvals. Regarding plant biotechnology, the last field trials were held in 2015 for Herculex corn for local production. The company subsequently decided not to move forward with commercialization. Since biotechnology development is not a priority for the Government of Panama, it has not established implementing regulations for laws on biosafety and biotechnology.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS:**

Report Highlights

Section I: Executive Summary

CHAPTER 1: PLANT BIOTECHNOLOGY

PART A: Production and Trade

PART B: Policy

PART C: Marketing

CHAPTER 2: ANIMAL BIOTECHNOLOGY

PART E: Production and Trade

PART F: Policy

PART G: Marketing

### **Executive Summary:**

The Government of Panama (GOP) has delayed establishing the implementing regulations of the Law 48 of 2002, which created the National Commission of Biosafety for Genetically Modified Organisms (GMOs). Currently, the absence of clear procedures regarding the approval process and coordination among all the competent government agencies in charge of authorizing the import of GMOs into Panama has been causing delays on the approval of GMO events, requested to the Government through the National Commission of Biosafety for Genetically Modified Organisms.

There is currently no interest in establishing these procedures since biotechnology is not a government priority.

## CHAPTER 1: PLANT BIOTECHNOLOGY

### PART A: Production and Trade

#### a) PRODUCTS DEVELOPMENT:

Although in 2012-2015 there were a number of field trials for Dupont-Pioneer's "Herculex I" (DAS-01507-1) corn seed, ultimately the company decided not to move forward with commercialization.

The lack of implementing regulations for the laws on biosafety and biotechnology will continue to cause delays in approvals.

#### b) COMMERCIAL PRODUCTION:

The only GE product approved for commercial cultivation is the DuPont-Pioneer's "Herculex I" (DAS-01507-1) corn seeds. However, it has not been commercialized due to the GOP requiring additional tests.

#### c) EXPORTS:

Panama does not export any GE crops/products.

#### d) IMPORTS:

Panama approved the DuPont-Pioneer the "Herculex I" (DAS-01507-1) corn seeds for import for feed use.

#### e) FOOD AID :

Panama is not a currently food aid recipient nor does it provide food aid.

#### f) TRADE BARRIERS:

There are no biotechnology-related trade barriers currently affecting U.S. exports

### PART B: Policy

#### a) REGULATORY FRAMEWORK:

[Law 8 of March 25, 2015](#) creates the regulatory framework.

Currently, the absence of clear procedures regarding the approval process and coordination among all the competent government agencies in charge of authorizing the import of GMOs into Panama has been causing delays on the approval of GMO events,

There is currently no interest in establishing these procedures since biotechnology is not a government priority.

The National Commission of Biosafety for Genetically Modified Organisms of Panama is the authority that recommends the approval of GE food and feed and for environmental releases. It is composed of:

**1) Competent National Authorities:**

- [Ministry of Agricultural Development](#) (MIDA, in Spanish)
- [Ministry of Health](#) (MINSA, in Spanish)
- [Ministry of Commerce and Industry](#) (MICI, in Spanish)
- [Ministry of Foreign Relations](#) (MIRE, in Spanish)
- [Ministry of Environment](#) (MIAMBIENTE, in Spanish)
- [Panamanian Food Safety Authority](#) (AUPSA, in Spanish)
- [Authority of the Aquatic Resources of Panama](#) (ARAP, in Spanish)

**2) Institutions for Technical Support:**

- [National Secretariat for Science, Technology and Innovation](#). (SENACYT, in Spanish)
- [Institute of Scientific Research and High Technology Services](#) (INDICASAT AIP.)
- [Agricultural Research Institute of Panama](#) (IDIAP, in Spanish)
- [Technological University of Panama](#) (UTP, in Spanish)
- [University of Panama](#)
- [Gorgas Memorial Institute for Health Studies](#) (ICGES, in Spanish).
- [Authority of Free Competition and Consumer Rights](#) (ACODECO, in Spanish)

The current objectives of the National Commission of Biosafety for Genetically Modified Organisms Commission are:

1. Promote and monitor the implementation of the law that will amend the Law 48 of 2002, which created the National Commission for Biosafety of “GMOs,”
2. Develop, promote and monitor the compliance of the regulations and manuals of procedures for the GMOs,”
3. Strengthen and monitor the [Biosafety Clearing House \(BCH\) of Panama](#), and
4. Propose the establishment of capacity building in the institutions for Biosafety of Genetically Modified Organisms.

The national legal framework for GMOs is based on the following laws:

- 1) [Law 72 of December 26, 2001](#), by which Panama approved the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety; it entered into force on January 29, 2000.
- 2) [Law 48 of August 8, 2002](#) that created the National Commission of Biosafety for Genetically Modified Organisms, and dictates other dispositions.
- 3) [Resolution CNB No. 06-2014](#), established the Internal Regulation for the National Commission of Biosafety for Genetically Modified Organisms.
- 4) [Law 47 of 1996](#), established that for the import, export, research, experiment, release to the environment, reproduction and commercialization of transgenic plants, bio-control agents and seeds for production, and approval of the National Director of Plant Health.
- 5) [Law 23 of 1997](#), which regulates the Animal Health and Agricultural Quarantine.
- 6) [Law Decree 11 of February 22, 2006](#), which created the Panamanian Food Safety Authority (AUPSA) and the dispositions for the import, transit and trans-boundary movement of food and feed into Panama.
- 7) [Law 8 of March 25, 2015](#), which created the Ministry of Environment of the Republic of Panama.

Law 72 of 2001 is in force but has not been fully implemented.

Law 48 of 2002 was implemented on February 26, 2011 with a first meeting of Commissioners. The Commission Presidency rotates among the Ministers. The Commission is in charge of drafting and implementing the regulations for use, import, commercialization, and research of “GMOs,” and oversees all aspects of production, introduction, consumption, etc. for all biotech products.

The National Commission of Biosafety for Genetically Modified Organisms of Panama makes a recommendation for the competent authority to authorize the use, production, introduction or consumption of GE events.

There are three Biosafety Committees, under the National Commission of Biosafety for Genetically Modified Organisms of Panama, which conduct risk analysis and risk assessments on a case by case basing using science-based evidence:

- a) **[Biosafety Committee on Agriculture](#)**: Conducts risk analysis, risk assessments, monitors and tracks all activities for use, research, restricted management, laboratory testing, release to the environment, greenhouse, and experimental batches of GMO for agricultural use (i.e. seeds, feeds consumption).
- b) **[Biosafety Committee on Public Health](#)**: Conducts risk analysis, risk assessments, monitors and tracks all activities for use, research, restricted management, laboratory testing, release to the environment, technological development of “GMOs” that may affect human health. (i.e. food consumption, or GE animals to be used for Public Health research).

c) **Biosafety Committee on Environment**: Conducts risk analysis, risk assessments, monitors and tracks all activities for use, research, restricted management, laboratory testing, release to the environment, greenhouse and experimental batches of GMO for research; and use of raw material for feed consumption, ornament and bioremediation through microorganisms.

The following ministries and authorities, along with their respective scope of jurisdiction, are the competent authorities who will make the final decision, depending on the recommendation of the National Commission of Biosafety for Genetically Modified Organisms of Panama for GE seed approval, the Biosafety Committees on Agriculture and the Environment work together well. However, for GE animal feed approval, in addition, to these two Committees, the Committee on Public Health is involved. At this time, that Committee adheres to the Precautionary Principle which has created a roadblock to GE animal feed approvals.

- The **Ministry of Agricultural Development (MIDA)** is the competent national authority to regulate, control, approve and monitor the use, import, export, research, experiment, release to the environment, reproduction and commercialization and management of “GMOs,” such as live animals, semen and embryos, transgenic plants, bio-control agents and seeds for agricultural production.
- The **Ministry of Health (MINSA)** is the competent national authority to regulate, control, approve and monitor the use and management of “GMOs” and biotechnology developments, conducted on national territory, affecting human health and the establishment of biosafety standards required for human protection.
- The **Ministry of Commerce and Industry (MICI)** is the competent national authority responsible for ensuring that negotiations and international trade agreements that involve the use of “GMOs” and biotechnology transfer, do not affect domestic production and investment, the environment, biodiversity and human health, and ensures the best interests of Panama.
- The **Ministry of Environment (MIAMBIENTE)** is the competent national authority for the implementation of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety and of the Convention on Biological Diversity, as the focal point of Panama, as well as management and environmental management of natural heritage and biodiversity of Panama. MIAMBIENTE has the power to regulate and control access to and use of biogenetic resources in general, and establish, approve and monitor compliance with the rules for risk assessment procedures for the release into the environment, and monitor mitigation impacts on biodiversity and the environment, including the protected areas.
- The **Panamanian Food Safety Authority (AUPSA)** is the competent national authority that regulates and enforces compliance of sanitary and phytosanitary measures and quality standards related to the import, transit and transboundary movement of food and feed into Panama.
- The **National Secretariat of Science, Technology and Innovation (SENACYT)** is the competent national

authority for the promotion of research for the development and transfer of biotechnology in general, and for the regulation of LMO's for use in scientific research.

- The **Authority of Aquatic Resources of Panama (ARAP)** is the entity with responsibility for the authorization, control, supervision, monitoring, and release to the aquatic environment of marine and genetically modified aquatic organisms that are located outside of protected areas.
- The **Authority for Consumer Protection and Defense of the Competition (ACODECO)** is the entity responsible for protecting and ensuring the process of free economic competition, eliminating monopolistic practices and other restrictions in the efficient functioning of markets for goods and services, monitoring food labeling compliance and preserving the best interests of consumers in Panama.

#### **b) APPROVALS:**

The GOP, under Resolution CNB No. 05-2012 of August 2, 2012 of the National Commission of Biosafety for Genetically Modified Organisms of Panama, authorized cultivation of DuPont-Pioneer's "Herculex I" (DAS-01507-1) However, the company decided not to commercialize this event because the Biosafety Committee on Public Health rejected its request for approval for animal feed use citing that the product could be used for human consumption and therefore requiring additional testing..

**c) STACKED OR PYRAMIDED EVENT APPROVALS:** There are no special regulatory treatments for these event approvals. They are treated and reviewed as a regular GE event.

#### **d) FIELD TESTING:**

There is no specific policy for managing confined field trials. The National Commission of Biosafety for GMO of Panama will authorize the approval for field trials.

The GOP conducted two official field trials during agricultural crop year 2012-2013 in the province of Los Santos, Panama, using 2,011 bags of the Herculex I corn seed. These were conducted with the technical supervision of the Panamanian Agricultural Research Institute (IDIAP, in Spanish) and the assistance of the Panamanian importing company.

The first field trial the GOP evaluated included an assessment of pollen dispersal; assessment of populations of insects on crops of corn Herculex I; evaluation of biological efficacy; and agronomic validation. The second field-trial the GOP evaluated was on the biological efficacy.

**e) INNOVATIVE BIOTECHNOLOGIES**

Panama has not determined the regulatory status or policy for innovative biotechnologies such as genome editing.

**f) COEXISTENCE:**

Panama does not currently have a coexistence policy.

**g) LABELING:**

Panama accepts the CODEX Alimentarius recommendation for voluntary labeling. It does not require specific mandatory labeling for biotechnology products.

**h) MONITORING AND TESTING:**

Panama does not currently have a monitoring and testing program for GE products.

**i) LOW-LEVEL PRESENCE (LLP) POLICY:**

Panama does not currently have a Low-Level Presence (LLP) policy.

**j) ADDITIONAL REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS**

Seed, must be registered at the National Committee of Seeds before commercialization. The National Committee of Seeds is under MIDA's structure.

[https://www.mida.gob.pa/direcciones/direcciones\\_nacionales/comit-nacional-de-semillas.html](https://www.mida.gob.pa/direcciones/direcciones_nacionales/comit-nacional-de-semillas.html). There are currently no GE seeds registered.

**k) INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS (IPRs):**

Panama is also a party to other international bodies related to intellectual property rights (IPR), which address plant patents, copyright protection, and registration requirements. It is also a member of the UPOV Convention and the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food Agriculture, among others.

The U.S.-Panama Trade Promotion Agreement, which entered into force on October 31, 2012, has a chapter on Intellectual Property Rights (chapter 15).



#### **l) CARTAGENA PROTOCOL RATIFICATION:**

Panama is an active party of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety, under the Convention of Biological Diversity. On May 3, 2011, Panama signed the Nagoya – Kuala Lumpur Supplementary Protocol on Liability and Redress.

#### **m) INTERNATIONAL TREATIES/FORUMS:**

To date, Panama has not negotiated any bilateral agreement or memorandum of understanding with any other country regarding GMOs.

Panama is a member of the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC), the CODEX Alimentarius and the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE). Because of a lack of resources to send a GOP representative to international discussions related to GE plants and animals, Panama has not been very active in this area, except for discussions on the Cartagena Protocol.

**n) RELATED ISSUES:** None

#### **PART C: Marketing**

##### **a) PUBLIC/PRIVATE OPINIONS:**

Most agriculture professionals graduate from local universities that lack advanced training in modern developments in biotechnology. This may hurt perceptions of GMOs by many in Panama, including those who tend to distrust big industries and new methods of mass production of food products. There are two private consumers' associations that are expressing concern about lack of protection from authorities for consumers of medicines and some food products, mainly from Asia. This could lead to rejection of this technology from the consumers and the public sector, depending on the information they receive in the future.

##### **b) MARKET ACCEPTANCE/STUDIES:**

From time to time, local newspapers publish articles (that appear to come from foreign sources) reporting on the alleged dangers to humans posed by foods prepared with GMOs, and of the supposedly catastrophic impact on the environment if GMOs are produced in the country. With less frequency, articles are published that talk about the benefits of GMOs and their products. For example, the Serilini study on rats using GM corn caused the Panamanian consumers association to make public statements about not trusting products made by GM corn. When the Serilini study was refuted by the European scientific organizations due to lack of scientific and reliable information, the negative public perception of GMOs was reduced.

Also, in Panama there is the consumer trend to look for processed food products with the “Non-GMO verified product” labeling.

There have been no market studies in Panama to assess consumer acceptance of GMOs

## **CHAPTER 2: ANIMAL BIOTECHNOLOGY**

### **PART D: Production and Trade**

#### **a) PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT:**

The National Commission of Biosafety for Genetically Modified Organisms of Panama, through the Resolution CNB No. 08-2017 of October 5, 2017 granted the approval for the release of GE *Cochliomyia hominivorax* flies into the environment as part of a second phase of research by the Panama – United States Commission for the Eradication and Prevention of Screwworm (COPEG). This phase of research uses a male only strain for the biological control of the Screwworm disease, which will reduce the cost of the program, and benefit the Central American and North American livestock production.

There are no other agriculturally-relevant GE or cloned animals in development in Panama that would be commercialized in the next five years.

#### **b) COMMERCIAL PRODUCTION:**

On April 16, 2019, AquaBounty, a U.S. company that developed genetically-engineered (GE) salmon in Boquete, Panama closed its production and research facilities. AquaBounty left Panama due to the Government of Panama’s deferred decision on the approval on local consumption. Approval would have required additional studies beyond those already conducted for the Canadian and United States Food and Drug Administration approvals.

**c) EXPORTS:** None

#### **d) IMPORTS:**

None.

#### **e) TRADE BARRIERS:**

There are no trade barriers at this time that would affect U.S. exports for GE animals, cloned animals or offspring of cloned animals to Panama.

## **PART E: Policy**

### **a) REGULATORY FRAMEWORK:**

No specific regulations have been developed for products of animal biotechnology. General biosafety and biotechnology laws apply for animal biotechnology in Panama.

### **b) INNOVATIVE BIOTECHNOLOGIES**

Panama has not determined the regulatory status or policy for innovative biotechnologies such as genome editing. However, there are opportunities in the Animal Biotechnology research underway in Panama for innovative GE animals such as the GE flies for screwworm eradication.

### **c) LABELING AND TRACEABILITY:**

Labeling regulations have not been developed for products of animal biotechnology, including for clones or offspring of clones. The new Traceability Law, which is currently under the development of its implementing regulations, may apply in the future for products of animal biotechnology.

### **d) INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS (IPR):**

Panama is a party to international bodies related to Intellectual Property Rights (IPR), which addresses plant patents, copyright protection, registration requirements.

The U.S-Panama Trade Promotion Agreement entered into force on October 31, 2012 also has a chapter on Intellectual Property Rights (chapter 15).

Therefore, the above will also apply for products of animal biotechnology.

### **e) INTERNATIONAL TREATIES/FORUMS:**

Panama is not an active participant in discussions related to new animal technologies in international organizations such as the International Organization for Animal Health (OIE) or Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD).

### **f) RELATED ISSUES:**

## **PART G: Marketing**

### **a) PUBLIC/PRIVATE OPINIONS:**

Lawmakers do not view GE animals as a priority in the issuing of new regulations and therefore, there have not been any discussions of related regulatory policies for genetic engineering of animals.

The Panamanians, after seeing the positive results of the Oxitec's GE mosquitoes, are willing to accept the use of this new technology for the control of Dengue virus. This would also help to control the new Chikungunya and Zika viruses, because the vector is the same species of mosquitoes: *Aedes aegypti*.

### **b) MARKET ACCEPTANCE/ MARKET STUDIES:**

The production of food products from GE animals is not well understood by local consumers. There are no market studies regarding GE animals or cloning acceptance.

**Attachments:**

No Attachments